

THE IMPACTFUL INFLUENCE OF MICROFINANCING ON THE GROWTH OF SMALL ENTERPRISES DEVELOPMENT

Muqqadas Imtiaz ¹, Syeda Uswa Batool Gardezi ², Khalid Mehmood ³, Siraj Hussain ^{4*}

¹ Department of English, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan.

² School of Professionals Psychology, University of Management & Technology, Lahore, Punjab, Pakistan

³ Heavy Industries Taxila, Punjab, Pakistan

⁴ Department of Sociology, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan, Sub Campus Lodhran, Punjab, Pakistan

Submitted March 18, 2025; Revised October 26, 2025; Accepted November 6, 2025; Published February 20, 2026

ABSTRACT

This study's primary goal is to investigate microfinance as it is provided by Pakistan's scheduled banks. The relationship between microfinance and its function in the growth of small companies is investigated experimentally in this study. Since microcredit alternatives are mostly employed by individuals to address their immediate cash needs, the results show a positive relationship between microcredit facilities and the expansion of small companies. The majority of local homes and residents use this money to fund their small companies. The study's findings will also help regulatory agencies create efficient microcredit regulations.

Keywords: Microfinancing, Small Business, Banking, Pakistan.

*Corresponding Author. E-mail: siraj.raza@bzu.edu.pk

INTRODUCTION

Micro-level finances and their connection to the growth of small businesses (SBs) have been empirically studied by the majority of earlier academics, both domestically and abroad. A comprehensive analysis of these research shows that SB growth is significantly influenced by changes in both local and global economic conditions. The growing trends of SBs and the major obstacles this industry faces in the province of Pakistan are the particular subjects of this study. The absence of existing literature on the relationship between microfinance and the growth of the SB sector in Pakistan is

the main driving force behind this study [1]. Employing a trial-and-error approach, the study investigates the crucial role played by microfinance banks in the expansion of SBs and the power dynamics of microfinance institutions. Additionally, it analyzes the commercial viability of businesses supported by these institutions. The study reveals that successive governments in Pakistan have introduced numerous promotional programs to enhance the SB sector; however, many of these initiatives have failed to achieve the desired outcomes. The findings of this study can inform

IMS is published by AMMANIF Publisher. The authors retain the copyright without restriction. This article is an open access article published under the terms and conditions of [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



policymakers as they formulate effective strategies to promote the SB sector through microfinancing in Pakistan.

This research highlights the significance of microfinance institutions and anticipates that its results will enhance the effectiveness of microfinance programs, enabling SBs to fully benefit from these resources. These microfinance programs not only support small businesses but also assist households by providing readily available cash that can be used for business survival and revival [2]. The study suggests that governments should actively promote microfinancing practices, as SBs are crucial for the local economy. These businesses are key providers of local jobs and play a significant role in reducing unemployment. Furthermore, the results propose methods to streamline the process through which individuals and SBs can meet their capital requirements more easily [3]. The primary objective of this study is to evaluate the effectiveness of micro-financing facilities offered by privately owned commercial banks in Pakistan.

Assess the implications of micro-financing in the presence of small businesses (SBs) in Pakistan. Examine the non-financial services provided by micro-finance institutions and their relationship with the performance of SBs in the province [4]. Document the processes and procedures within the micro-financing sector in Pakistan. In today's competitive business environment, the concept of micro-finance is gaining traction in contemporary literature. Micro-financing is increasingly recognized as an effective means to meet additional financial needs. Following the micro-finance summit, many countries established their millennium development goals to improve local economic conditions. In Pakistan, the Federal Government initiated several micro-finance projects around 1960, influenced by Dr. Akhtar

Hameed Khan's foundational micro-credit principles. These principles were crucial to the early success of the small business sector in Pakistan and paved the way for subsequent programs, such as the Orange Pilot Program in Karachi and the Agha Khan Rural Support Program (AKRSP). The Agricultural Development Bank of Pakistan (ADBP), now known as Zarai Taraqati Bank Limited (ZTBL), began offering micro-financing to disadvantaged farmers. Micro-finance activities gained momentum in 1996 when the State Bank of Pakistan (SBP) recognized these financing practices as specialized activities. In that year, the Kashf Foundation emerged as a leading organization, launching the first urban micro-finance program in Pakistan. By 1998, a group of major Pakistani organizations established the Micro-Finance Organization, which became a key component of the Pakistani Micro-Finance Network (PMN). The primary goal of PMN was to introduce transparency at the micro level, facilitating the growth of small businesses under its umbrella [5].

In 2000, the Federal Government of Pakistan acknowledged the vital role of micro-finance in reducing unemployment within the local economy. This recognition prompted the government to collaborate with micro-finance partner organizations to create a federal fund known as the Pakistan Poverty Alleviation Fund (PPAF). Additionally, the SBP mandated that all scheduled banks establish separate micro-finance departments to streamline credit provision to individuals and organizations. In 2007, several Micro Finance Banks (MFBs) were introduced into Pakistan's banking sector. The Federal Government also established the Khushhali Microfinance Bank as a dedicated institution to enhance and facilitate micro-financing in the country [6]. The Federal Government of Pakistan has taken

significant steps to support the micro-finance sector, recognizing its historical roots as a practice used by ancient civilizations to assist impoverished populations and enhance their quality of life. To this end, the government has implemented various initiatives aimed at bolstering micro-finance banks (MFBs). One of the initial measures was the announcement of a five-year tax exemption for all small banks established through micro-finance starting in 2007. During this period, the government also released a comprehensive Economic Condition Strategy Paper, which focused on promoting micro-finance practices as a tool for improving local economic conditions. Additionally, the Asian Development Bank (ADB) launched the Microfinance Development Project in 2000, aiming to provide essential services such as education, healthcare, and clean water to those in need [7].

Recognizing the importance of the micro-financing sector for Pakistan's economic development, the Pakistan Securities and Exchange Commission (SECP) has offered additional support to MFBs by relaxing banking and transaction regulations. This facilitates easier access to credit for ordinary customers. The State Bank of Pakistan (SBP) further contributed by granting micro-finance banking licenses to several scheduled banks, expanding the reach of micro-finance across the country. To operate a micro-finance bank, SBP established a minimum capital requirement of 500 million rupees, with a local capital requirement of 250 million rupees. Numerous studies have examined the role of micro-enterprises in alleviating poverty and generating financial benefits through various strategies. In their 1996 study, Hulme and Mosley investigated the situation in Asian countries and found a positive correlation between micro-finance

and the financial gains of borrowers. They observed significant increases in the financial gains of these borrowers. It was emphasized the crucial role of micro-credit institutions in improving financial conditions, which helps stimulate local economies [8].

It was analyzed Turkish micro-finance institutions and concluded that micro-finance serves as an effective tool for enhancing the financial well-being of local populations, noting that one-fifth of Turkey's population lives below the poverty line. Micro-credit helps reduce this poverty by enabling individuals to start and run personal businesses, which ultimately decreases unemployment levels. In the context of Pakistan, it was explored the impact of micro-finance on the financial situations of the poor, focusing on Rahim Yar Khan. Their correlation analysis revealed a positive relationship between micro-finance institutions and financial gains, agricultural production, wealth creation, expenditure, and savings. Similarly, it was highlighted that micro-finance could be a vital pillar for improving local financial conditions. Previous studies indicate that the small size of micro-finance loans is a significant disadvantage, as these loans often fail to meet borrowers' needs. Additionally, research highlights that many micro-credit loans are primarily used for consumption rather than for generating income or profits. Furthermore, borrowers of micro-finance loans are often illiterate and reside in rural areas, which limits their understanding of how to effectively utilize micro-finance. It was suggested that the empirical results regarding micro-finance institutions are ambiguous, as their effects—positive or negative—depend on various factors. The study also argues that changes in interest rates set by micro-finance banks can hinder the effective use of these loans. This research aims to assess the

role of micro-financing in improving the economic conditions of the general public and to argue that supportive micro-credit policies are crucial for the local economy. It was revealed that micro-finance is an essential tool for increasing access to loans and basic services, positively impacting people's lives and raising their living standards in areas such as health, education, and nutrition [9]. The study evaluates the impact of microenterprises on business productivity, measured by the value of resources relative to costs. It assesses productivity by analyzing the value of proposals based on resource valuation at the time of remuneration, maintaining a consistent value throughout operations. Additionally, the study examines the direct impact of small entrepreneurs on poor relationships, emphasizing the importance of measuring liquidation outcomes. Results are expressed as a percentage of significant sales. Two key analytical techniques were employed in this study: survival analysis and various regression analyses. The survival analysis incorporates historical data (i.e., longitudinal variables) to investigate and predict business survival and failure.

MODEL THEORIES ON SMALL BUSINESS

A well-known theory of finance relevant to small businesses is the gradient theory developed. This theory explains the incentives that influence capital structure decisions in small businesses (SBs). It suggests that companies prioritize the use of internal capital sources and turn to external sources only when internal resources are insufficient. Research indicates that this theory is closely related to the financing of SBs, which often begin with internal funding before seeking external options. Older firms typically have more opportunities for accumulated earnings than younger ones, resulting in greater resources

available for financing growth. According to the ladder theory, these internal funds should be utilized before resorting to external capital sources. It was found that small firms often experience a more pronounced version of the gradient order in their financing decisions due to their limited access to external capital [10]. Small firms typically rely on private capital markets, while larger firms utilize public markets. Information on small businesses is much less accessible than that for larger firms, which is often available in annual reports. This reliance on private markets constrains their funding options. Most small firms depend heavily on commercial banks and financial institutions for capital. Consequently, the opportunity cost for small firms is generally higher than that for larger firms. A lack of information regarding loan size and insufficient knowledge about small businesses can lead lenders to hedge their investments by offering higher interest rates and capital costs. As a result, firms with lower capital costs may have to incur more short-term debt, which increases their risk [11].

When lending to small businesses, financial institutions often require personal guarantees from owners. These guarantees give lenders the ability to seek recourse against the business owner in case of default. Restrictions on SB financing and the reliance on internal capital are highlighted. It was described these dynamics as "complex factors affecting the financing choices of owner-managers of small and medium-sized enterprises." This is supported a company's size is positively correlated with long-term debt and negatively correlated with short-term liabilities. Additionally, it was suggested that a firm's size influences its confidence in using the gradient order theory for capital structure decisions [12]. Consequently, smaller firms are more likely to utilize

internal funds, and it was found a significant relationship between company size and debt utilization.

Financial development theory

It was proposed a theory of the economic process for small businesses (SBs), suggesting that as financial needs and choices evolve beyond mere operational needs, these businesses become more accountable and less informationally opaque. They also highlight that companies reflect the age and size of information, with smaller, younger, and more challenging firms positioned closer to the beginning of a timeline that illustrates their dependence on initial investments from angel investors. According to the growth life cycle model, as a company expands, it typically relies on working capital and medium-term loans. At the end of this growth phase, as companies mature and become more accountable and transparent, they may gain access to public equity or long-term debt [13]. Financial challenges are a prevalent theme in the literature concerning SBs. Numerous empirical studies indicate that inadequate funding is a primary cause of failure among these businesses. The capital structure of small businesses varies significantly; smaller firms tend to rely more on informal financial markets, which limits their funding options. The reliance on domestic finance creates a unique situation where capital structure decisions are influenced by restricted funding choices. It is widely accepted that small businesses have different optimal capital structures funded from various sources at different stages of their lifecycle. Researchers have found that certain characteristics of SBs influence the types of funds available for their operations.

The Contract Theory

Contract theory addresses the problem of asymmetric information, which occurs when some parties in a business transaction possess more information than others. In

such cases, one party may lack sufficient knowledge about the other, leading to suboptimal decision-making. This can result in adverse selection in the credit market and increased financial risks. Adverse selection arises from asymmetric information prior to a transaction. For instance, a lender may choose not to provide a loan even if the borrower is creditworthy and capable of repayment [14]. Conversely, a borrower might engage in activities that are not in the lender's best interest, raising the likelihood of default. Consequently, formal financial institutions often require collateral as a prerequisite for granting loans to small businesses, as lending without adequate security is deemed too risky. It was identified the information asymmetry as a key factor limiting credit availability for small businesses. According to the authors, capital shortages are not solely the result of adverse selection and financial losses associated with small businesses, which are known to have a disproportionately negative impact on their viability.

SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) are considered the backbone of internal resources. Numerous studies have highlighted the necessity and significance of process innovations within the SME sector and their substantial impact on economic development, particularly in strengthening economies affected by either product or process innovations. By leveraging new technology, innovative concepts, and skilled labor, entrepreneurs can achieve economies of scale and expand their businesses. Product innovation is not feasible without process innovation [15]. It was analyzed innovation in the SME sector in two ways. First, he examined the success stories of local innovation systems in disadvantaged regions, which require greater political intervention. This study aims to bridge the gap in the literature by

focusing on the regional experience of Bedfordshire, characterized by low innovation. Second, he explored the factors influencing the barriers to innovation, emphasizing macro-level influences. Consequently, policy recommendations did not differentiate SMEs based on the size and nature of their innovation activities. It was highlighted the development of clusters to promote the SME sector through process innovations in India. According to this study, SMEs account for approximately 80% of Indian industrial enterprises. The author also identified key challenges and barriers faced by SMEs [16].

It was discussed how process innovation can enhance the performance of traditional SMEs and proposed a competitive policy to foster new businesses within the sector. The government has amended essential laws governing SMEs to support business innovation. It was analyzed the innovative capabilities of SMEs as a framework for exports. Their findings indicate that innovative features are significant factors in export performance, although their relative importance varies by industry. In highly skilled industries, all technological features positively influence export performance, whereas, in low-skilled sectors, industrial characteristics are more prominent. Within the contexts of low, medium, or high-level knowledge, research and development, along with skill strength, rank among the top five influential factors [17].

It was emphasizes that small businesses (SBs) are a vital part of the nation's economic and social structure. SBs significantly contribute to the development of the country's economy. It was conducted a trial and error analysis to examine the impact of process innovations on SB productivity within a structural model in a European context. Their research highlights the need to explore how innovations can be implemented across various industries,

rather than solely focusing on company size, public policy, R&D productivity, and productivity levels in different sectors. They also differentiate between types of innovations, namely product innovation and process innovation [18].

The findings from these studies indicate a positive relationship between R&D choices and process innovation in small enterprises (SEs), as well as variations in R&D innovation. Productivity is significantly higher in European countries compared to others. Additionally, it was discovered that the size of a company is negatively correlated with R&D intensity, revealing the dual nature of research and development. Their analysis demonstrates that product innovation positively impacts the productivity of a company's workforce. They underscore the importance of process innovation, noting its substantial effect on Italy's productivity. Furthermore, larger, older corporations tend to be less productive than smaller, more innovative, and modern firms. It is commonly believed that the development of SBs positively influences economic growth by creating jobs within the country. The advancement of SBs, particularly through innovative processes, has enhanced distribution efficiency and production capacity in manufacturing plants, thereby expanding business opportunities. Job creation increases income availability, contributing to an improved social standard of living. However, factors such as investment and external sector policies can have a more significant impact on economic growth than the development of SBs alone. It was analyzed the effects of the robust growth of the SB sector on the Brazilian economy, examining the relationship between small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) and economic growth across 508 micro-regions in Brazil from 1980 to 2004.

Building on previous studies, the connections between process-related innovations and SB growth can be further explored. Additionally, the relationship between SB growth and economic development is being strengthened, given its positive implications for economic advancement, as highlighted in earlier research. By mobilizing internal resources, the government can reduce its reliance on external donors. To promote SBs in Pakistan, the government established a dedicated unit for SB policy in 2004, asserting that private sector economic growth is primarily driven by the development of SBs. Despite the government's efforts, the utilization of national resources remains challenging, necessitating further process innovations to strengthen the country's financial stability.

STAGES OF DEVELOPMENT

Every stage of development begins relatively calmly but often culminates in a crisis management scenario. The five stages are outlined as follows: growth through creative thinking, followed by a management crisis; growth resulting from an autonomy crisis; and growth through delegation. A company's development is influenced by various factors. It was reviewed several theories highlighting the significant role of individual perspectives on a company's growth. Changes in structural dynamics and the challenge of encouraging managers and employees to update their values and decision-making patterns are crucial. The forward-looking attitudes and effective leadership styles in management organizations are essential for ensuring longevity and efficiency. If an organization successfully navigates its adolescent phase, it can reach the peak of its life cycle. At its best, the organization is results-oriented, with plans and procedures that enhance productivity while remaining adaptable to its environment [19].

The traditional development models are unsuitable for small businesses, as they typically assume that a company will progress through all stages of development in a linear manner. This perspective does not apply to small businesses, as conventional models overlook the critical stages of a company's origin and growth, focusing instead on sales and employment without considering factors such as value addition, location variety, and product complexity. They identify five growth portfolios: existence of resources, survival, success, take-off, and maturity. Each stage is defined by its size, diversity, and complexity. Consequently, key management factors include leadership style, structure, the expansion of formal systems, primary strategic goals, and the owner's involvement [20]. During the existence stage, the primary concerns are acquiring customers and delivering products. In the survival stage, the company has enough customers to meet their needs. The critical focus at this stage is managing revenue and expenses to achieve stability. The organization remains relatively simple, with most management responsibilities resting on the vendor or owner rather than a formal leader [21].

It was demonstrated that changes within a company follow a predictable progression characterized by distinct stages of development. These stages encompass events that illustrate how things evolve over time, a gradable progression that cannot simply be reversed, and ultimately, a complex interplay of functions and structural elements. Earlier analyses focus on the event stage of small businesses (SBs). It was emphasized that the major challenges faced by SBs vary according to their life cycle stage. In their empirical research, they found that companies experience fewer administrative and financial problems in earlier stages, but

encounter more marketing challenges as they progress. Different developmental phases necessitate different strategies. The researcher identifies various strategies tailored to the specific phases of a company's growth [22, 23]. Conversely, companies can gain a competitive advantage by adopting a long-term strategic perspective. In a recent study, it was noted that transitional phases are particularly relevant during the early stages of a public offering. It was supported the application of life-cycle theory to the New Zealand wine industry. However, researchers did not fully consider the organizational life cycle as outlined in the literature across various industries. It is evident from the literature that most authors agree the life cycle resembles the classic product life cycle, encompassing the stages of introduction, growth, maturity, and decline. However, the development of SBs is somewhat distinct. Although the life cycle approach is applicable to SBs, it is not always consistently implemented. Recent analyses in this area have not reached definitive conclusions. Given the primary focus on microfinance and the growth of small businesses, this discussion will concentrate more on the growth cycle in small business financing. It was elaborated on the development of SBs and indicated that even newly established firms are vulnerable to failure when they lack innovative decision-making and avoid risks. The previous framework outlines five stages of business development, each defined by specific characteristics.

CONCLUSION

Entrepreneurs in a small economic subsector in Pakistan, Pakistan, are seeking funding to ensure the long-term success of their businesses. Although the small business (SB) sector plays a crucial role in the economic framework, it has not yet received adequate recognition relative to its

contributions. While financial resources are essential for all businesses, the outcomes of this study indicate that both financial services and non-financial microfinance banks (MFBs) have significantly benefited small businesses in Pakistan. The political context of this study shows that micro-level factors greatly influence the business environment, helping to bridge gaps within the small business sector. Supporting and harnessing small enterprises can contribute to sustainable economic growth, improving conditions for the disadvantaged. Based on the findings, MFBs can enhance small businesses in three key ways: by facilitating regular access to small-scale finance, providing non-financial services, and improving productivity for entrepreneurs. Thus, operational modes and resources must be prioritized as critical performance factors within these subsectors, aiming to increase access to vital resources while addressing potential challenges that may not be easily overcome. The study discusses the impact of microfinance, highlighting the non-financial products that small enterprises offer to foster business growth, survival, productivity, and performance in Pakistan, Pakistan. The success of these enterprises relies on the consistent engagement of entrepreneurs in microenterprises, particularly when they reinvest profits for future growth.

Author(s) Contribution: Analysis, I. Muqqadas; resources data, S.B. Uswa; review, M. Khalid, proof-reading, H. Siraj.

Funding: No funding involved.

Ethical Approval: Not applicable

Conflict of Interest: Nil.

Consent for Publication: All authors approved the manuscript for publication.

REFERENCES

1. Beverland, M., & Lockshin, L. S. (2001). Organizational life cycles in small New Zealand wineries. *Journal of*

- Small Business Management, 39(4), 354-362.
2. Brau, J. C., & Osteryoung, J. S. (2001). The Determinants of Successful Micro IPOs: An Analysis of Issues Made under the Small Corporate Offering Registration (SCOR) Procedure. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 39(3), 209-227.
 3. Orser, B. J., Hogarth-Scott, S., & Riding, A. L. (2000). Performance, firm size, and management problem-solving. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 38(4), 42-58.
 4. Romano, C. A., Tanewski, G. A., & Smyrnios, K. X. (2001). Capital structure decision making: A model for family business. *Journal of business venturing*, 16(3), 285-310.
 5. Sadi, M.A& Henderson, J.C. (2010). Franchising and small medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) In industrializing economies: A Saudi Arabian perspective. *Journal of Management Development*. 30(4), 402-412.
 6. Stanley, G. (2008). Micro Finance is it either a problem or a solution? *World Development Journal* (24), 29-41.
 7. Tanaka, K.& Molnar, M. (2008). What is different about informal finance? Financing of private Firms in China. *Revue Economies*. 59(6), 1131-1144.
 8. Muhammad Rashid 2025. Catastrophic health expenditure in rural areas of Punjab and impact of sehat sahilat program. *Ammanif Bulletin of Social Sciences*. 2, 1 (Mar. 2025), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.56770/aboss202521>
 9. Sara Gul 2025. Forensic science education an emerging field to develop skills for identifying crimes. *Ammanif Bulletin of Social Sciences*. 2, 1 (Mar. 2025), 13–21. <https://doi.org/10.56770/aboss2025213>
 10. Okwoli, D.M. Abubakar, Y.I. & Abubakar, I.J. (2013). Microfinance banks and rural Development in Nigeria (2007-2012). *International Journal of Capacity Building in Education and Management (IJCBE)*.(2), 55-67.
 11. Oladokun, O. (2006). Microfinance to Reduce Poverty Rate. *Nigerian Tribune*. Omar, S. S. B. Arokiasamy, L. & Ismail, M. (2009). The background and challenges faced by The Small medium enterprises. A human resource development perspective. *International Journal of Business and Management*. 4(10), 95-102. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/ijbm.v4n10p95>.
 12. Pack, H., & Westphal, L. E. (1986). Industrial strategy and technological change: theory versus Reality. *Journal of development economics*, 22(1), 87-128.
 13. Qureshi, J. A. (2012). Financial quota of loans for the SME sector in Pakistan: A survey in Karachi. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Contemporary Research in Business*. 4(5), 725-741.
 14. Rand, J. (2007). Credit constraints and determinants of the cost of capital in Vietnamese Manufacturing. *Small Business Economics*. 29(1/2), 1-13.
 15. Raouf, A. (1998). Development of operations management in Pakistan. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*. 18(7), 649-650. <Http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/01443579810217602>.
 16. John, N.N. & Onwubiko, N.D. (2013). Problems of Microcredit among Microenterprises in Nigeria. *Research Journal of Finance and Accounting*. 24(6), 29-41.
 17. Karadag, H. (2015). Financial Management Challenges in Small and Medium Sized. Enterprises: A Strategic Management Approach. *EMAJ: Emerging Markets Journal*.5(1), 26-40.

18. Khandker, S.R. Samad, H. A & Ali, R. (2013). Does access to finance matter in Microenterprise Growth Evidence from Bangladesh. The World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 6333, Washington, DC.
19. Madugu A.J. & Bzugu, P.M. (2012). The Role of Microfinance Banks in Financing Agriculture In Yola North Local Government Area. Adamawa State, Nigeria. Global Journal of Science Frontier Research Agriculture and Veterinary Sciences. (12), 31-35.
20. Ademu, W.A. (2012). Finance for the Poor: An Assessment of the Performance of Microfinance Institutions in Nigeria. An International Multidisciplinary Journal Ethiopia.(6), 312-325.
21. Al-Wugayan, A. & Alshimmiri, T. (2010). Encouragement of Entrepreneurship in Affluent Economies: The Case of Kuwait. International Business & Economics Research Journal. 9(6), 34-48.
22. Abor, J. & Quartey, P. (2010). Issues in SME Development in Ghana and South Africa. International Research Journal of Finance and Economics. (39), 218-228.
23. Acha, I.A. (2012). Microfinance Banking in Nigeria: Problems and Prospects. International Journal of Finance and Accounting. (1), 106-111.