FORENSIC INSIGHTS AND HEALTH SCIENCES BULLETIN

Volume 4: Issue 2: 2026

https://doi.org/10.56770/fihsb2026426

MICROSTAMPING AND FORENSIC BALLISTICS: BACKGROUND, LEGAL PRINCIPLES, DATA BASE AND TECHNOLOGY COMPARISONS

Muhammad Azeem[1], Abubakar[2], Muhammad Soman Sajid[2*], Areej Fatima[3], Muhammad Hatib Bin Idrees[4], Muhammad Junaid Akram Danish[5], Bushra Noor[6], Hira Tazeen[6]

[1]Firearm and Tool Mark Department, Punjab Forensic Science Authority, Lahore, Punjab, Pakistan
[2]Department of Forensic Science, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Pakistan
[3]Sir Syed University of Engineering & Technology, Karachi, Pakistan
[4]School of Chemical and Materials Engineering, NUST, Islamabad, Pakistan
[5]Institute of Ecology, Evolution and Diversity, Goethe University Frankfurt am Mian, Germany
[6]School of Life Science, Shanxi University, Taiyuan, China

Submitted: June 20, 2026; Revised: September 16, 2026; Accepted: September 21, 2026; Published: October 31, 2026

ABSTRACT

This paper considers the wide-reaching consequences of microstamping technology on forensic science, with a particular emphasis on its technical principles and their relationship to the future of forensic ballistics work as well as issues associated with it. It also examines the far-reaching legal and policy enforcements, constitutionality questions, and construction of expensive firearm databases. Further, questions related to ethics and public safety, individual rights of the suspect and the responsibilities of law enforcement are thoroughly examined, adding a comprehensive dimension to this rapidly developing forensic practice. The paper concludes with a discussion of deployment issues and current technological development, as well as near-term trends in firearm and ammunition regulation. In short, the analysis presented here is intended to contribute to ongoing public and policy discussions about appropriate use of microstamping in criminal justice and concerns over its legal implications among lawyers, forensic scientists, policymakers, the media, and the general public. It highlights the importance of combining technological innovation with social aspects in order to guarantee a fair and useful use of it in forensic inquiries. Additionally, an overview of existing forensic ballistics approaches as based on conventional techniques is also more carefully considered in order to place microstamping technologies within the broader context for advancing a match precision and efficiency technique. This is particularly pressing in light of the fact that ballistic evidence has been applied in criminal cases for a long time, and that firearms are continuously being modified to include parts made using 3D printers.

Key words: Firearm, Ballistics, Macro-stamping, Micro-stamping

[*]**Corresponding Author.** E-mail: muhammadsomansajid@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

The forensic ballistics discipline has traditionally involved the comparison of unique markings produced on bullets and cartridge cases by firearms, which has been established as an essential tool in crime investigations for almost a century [**1**]. The emergence of microstamping is a game changer because it places microscopic markings directly on the components of ammunition and it could provide a more direct link (unlike toolmarks) that would be difficult to dispute against an expended casing and the weapon from which the bullet was fired. This development is intended to enhance existing forensic techniques, such as microscopic comparison of surface marks used in forensics, by instantiating machine-readable data for quick recognition [**2**].

Ballistics

Ballistics is classically represented by firearms, ammunition and associated material which are examined in relation to a crime. This field traditionally uses distinctive ballistic characteristics

FIHSB is published by AMMANIF Publisher. The authors retain the copyright without restriction. This article is an open access article published under the terms and conditions of Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

created during the shooting process, so that examiners can match a firearm to discharged bullets/casings from a crime scene. The basic premise is that each weapon produces individual and repeatable toolmarks which trained examiners can match to determine if two bullets were fired from the same weapon using different components of ammunition [**3**].

Microstamping

Microstamping is a patented process that directs a microscopic array of characters that identify the make, model, and serial number of the firearm onto the tip area of the firing pin or onto the face of the breech block so that, during an instant in which it strikes the cartridge primer, it also stamps these characters on at least one location on each cartridge case. This indelible signature acts as a traceable linkage between the cartridge and weapon, aiding law enforcement in investigation [**4**]. The goal of this process is to simplify the process of identifying firearms used in crimes by producing both an instantaneous, machine-readable signature on ejected casings, and circumventing some of the subjectivity inherent in traditional toolmark comparison. Unlike traditional ballistics procedures which are based on macroscopic features, microstamping is a unique attempt that inscribes microscopic identifiers (which can be compared to the ballistic fingerprint) onto firearm components [**5**]. A clear research need regarding this topic is that it has the potential to transform crime scene processing, increasing speed and accuracy of firearm identification, which will greatly assist law enforcement in solving gun related criminal activities [**6**]. In addition, the use of microstamping as a tool would dramatically minimize the element of subjectivity that is found in mezzo forensic identification to provide quantitative measures for similarities of impressed toll marks detected on cartridge cases. Furthermore, this methodology may be able to greatly enhance the development of comprehensive national ballistic imaging databases with very detailed surface topography information obtained from fired cases and bullets [**3**].

TECHNOLOGICAL ASPECTS OF MICROSTAMPING

In the following sections we will explore the complex engineering characteristics of microstamping and how these unique identifying marks are applied to ammunition part. They would also have to research and develop the methods for encoding those microscopic markings into casings whether by laser engraving or dedicated pin arrays as well as the material science required for making sure, they're there to be read even after a round has been fired. It will also consider the metrological issues of reading these microstamped marks (including factors such as deformation upon impact and environmental degradation) with sufficient accuracy [**7**].

Principles of Microstamping Technology

At the heart of microstamping technology is the application of cutting-edge manufacturing methods to the placement of tiny, distinctive markings on key firearm parts, most notably, the firing pin or breech face. During firing, these microscopic codes are finally transferred onto the primer of the cartridge case or the bullet, thus resulting in a unique and traceable marking. This approach utilizes concepts of materials science and precision engineering to make these marks permanent and legible, even under adverse conditions [**3**].

Forensic Applications

In forensic science, not only is the identification of a firearm of importance but also the ability to reconstruct a crime scene with greater precision by associating elements to specific ammunition and then further possibly to particular persons. It has the potential of greatly assisting in investigation by providing a direct, physical (and recognizable in an evidentiary manner nexus enabling witness testimony or some other source of evidence to be verified [**8**]. The primary (microscopic) marks engraved on a cartridge case, such as those made by the firing pin or ejector, play a key role in recognizing the weapon used for illegal purposes and supplementary techniques such as micro-computed tomography can be performed to examine 3D these prints in detail for accurate identification of the weapon [**9**].

Technological Limitations

However, microstamping poses several technological challenges such as the possibility of deliberate alteration or elimination of microstamped firing pins, and deterioration of marks through use or environmental exposure. Furthermore, the heterogeneity of manufacturing processes among distinct types of ammunition can introduce variability in the quality and consistency of microstamped marks, adding complexity to automated identification systems [**5**].

COMPARISON WITH OTHER METHODS OF FIREARMS IDENTIFICATION

Conventional forensic ballistics involves the comparison of striations and impressions formed by a barrel and breech face, respectively, which would be located on bullets and cartridge cases (becoming known as ‘comparative microscopy’) to link ammunition back to a specific gun. But microstamping is new in that it embeds machine read-able identifiers, providing what could be a more objective and direct method of relating fires to guns than the established one based on subjective interpretation of individual characteristics and tool marks [**10**]. Compared to the traditional methods which rely heavily on subjective

judgment by examiners, microstamping constitutes a standardized encoded signature that may be analyzed using automated comparison systems and less dependent on human interpretation increasing identification speed. This advance in quantitative analysis using microstamping technology addresses many of the long-standing criticisms made against traditional firearms identification testimony which has repeatedly been assaulted as being too subjective [**11**].

LEGAL AND POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

The implementation of microstamping technology implicates difficult issues that cut across legal and policy dimensions in terms of fitting it into current regulatory schemes and potential erosion of civil rights itself. In particular, it requires a thoughtful review of existing gun control policies to identify the potential for such technological means to find their way to improving public safety in a manner not inconsistent with constitutional rights. In addition, wide-ranging legislative measures would have to be enacted to mitigate the consequences of developing and maintaining large-scale firearm databanks, security and privacy issues foremost among them. In addition, individual privacy must also be kept in mind in a legal context, with the possibility that firearm usage and ownership could be tracked in great detail [**12, 13**].

Gun Control Legislation and Microstamping

The incorporation of microstamping into the prevalence Safe gun control measures may be transformative of law enforcement tactics, transforming police from reactive detectors to proactive identifiers of gun origin in crime. That would require a change of law and probably a requirement that new firearms and ammunition be equipped with microstamping technology to make it easier for police to trace rounds back to the guns they were fired from and consequently find out who owns them [**14**].

Privacy and Constitutional Concerns

The potential of microstamping to enable close surveillance of firearm integration and ownership through detailed monitoring by governmental bodies also implicates serious privacy concerns and Fourth Amendment questions relating to searches that are unreasonable. This detailed dataset may result in creation of knowledge bases, which might be misused; therefore strict legal protection to control individual privacy and prevent unauthorized access/handling can also rely on unfinished data applications [**15, 16**]. It should also be carefully considered what some of the societal implications for rights are, given that mandatory microstamping may impose an undue burden upon gun owners or manufacturers and be a violation of the rule in Heller regarding not infringing something rather than regulating it.

The Creation of Firearm Databases

The creation of gun databases, facilitated by microstamping, are a potent law enforcement device and data management problem as well as an overwhelming challenge to security and the possibility of abuse in terms of surveillance. The success of such a database (for example, NIBIN) indicates that were such national ballistics imaging capabilities in place, it would be possible to develop a comprehensive national ballistics image database. However, the capacity required for millions of new handgun entries every year into this greatly exceeds current capacities. This growth would require heavy investment in infrastructure and cutting-edge data analysis, as well as cybersecurity measures to deter data breaches and maintain the authenticity of the data [**17, 18**].

Ethical Implications of Microstamping

The application of microstamping with the deposition of significant ethical dilemmas, which go beyond legal or technical issues, to core problems from society values and rights of the person. The ethical considerations and implications arising from the implementation of such a technology need to be investigated in order to understand how it might affect law enforcement, manufacturers' responsibilities, and consumers' rights. Furthermore, such deliberation must balance the need for public safety against potential violations of privacy and second amendment rights when considering more pervasive tracking of firearms across society [**19, 20**].

Balancing Public Safety with Personal Liberty

These particular 'hard cases' of individual rights (2nd Amendment) versus the state's interest in reducing crime and having better tools to combat crime loom large in ethical terms. Tinkering with this delicate balance will require holding in tension the possibility that microstamping may deter criminal activity with the corresponding risk that law-abiding individuals are unnecessarily burdened. This careful balancing requires evaluation of the effectiveness of microstamping as deterrent against crime versus surveillance infrastructure that can be abused [**21, 22**].

Effect on Gun Manufacturers and Consumers

There's the cost of implementation, and it may not even be feasible for many gun manufacturers who would have to absorb the costs that are then likely to result in higher priced guns. In addition, the use of such technology could affect market behavior by consolidating production among a smaller number of larger manufacturers who could absorb these costs while reducing consumer choice and suppressing innovation within the firearms industry [**21**].

Ethical Responsibility in Law Enforcement and Forensic Science

There are moral implications as well, the most immediate of which is that any data related to

microstamping must be used openly, in a non-discriminatory manner, and with a respect for the forensic value of evidence while considering protectionism vs privacy/civil rights. This requires the guidance of ethical standards and oversight mechanisms to mitigate data abuse, responsible use within a criminal investigation or litigation [**14, 23**]. Furthermore, the possibility of "bad science" or pseudoscience in forensic research, specifically the assumption that markings on cartridges are unique also needs to be addressed through stringent ethical guidelines and ongoing microstamping evidence validation. This validation is essential given the potential for errors in microstamping techniques and deliberate altering or obfuscation of these distinguishable identifiers [**24**].

ISSUES REGARDING MICROSTAMPING IMPLEMENTATION

However, the viability of (any) such technology is fraught with many challenges from the technological to legal to public perception. This presents the issues that face us with respect to technical, political and public acceptance challenges so we can envision a future for microstamping. There are many significant technical problems to be solved, but consideration also needs to be given to the wider social and regulatory dimensions. Moreover, microstamping is difficult to functionalize and it may be beneficial to consider alternative manufacturing options if generalization across non-specific fabrication procedures becomes necessary [**25**].

Technological Obstacles

One of the main technological challenges is the stability (durability and reliability) of microstamped identifiers which should remain stable after being exposed to extreme pressure and temperature upon firing during thousands of rotations. Furthermore, the complexity of these marks demands advanced imaging and processing tools to consistently recover evidentiary information. Furthermore, difficulties stem from the fact that firing pins can be worn down over time and thus cause microstamps to become unreadable, as well as that criminals can purposely remove or modify these marks in order to hinder identification [**26**].

Legislative Barriers

There's a tough legislative hill to climb, and significant resistance from pro-gun organizations on this but particularly within the legislatures, there isn't really agreement that microstamping should be universally required. This political opposition is currently played out in the form of legislative stand-offs, which aim to prevent laws requiring microstamping be incorporated into newly manufactured firearms from passing – and thus preventing its roll-out on a larger scale [**27**]. This state of legislative apathy also applies to the development of effective legal infrastructure for data gathering, retention and access which is a necessary condition for ensuring that microstamped information will be accessible as a forensic tool. Additionally, there are concerns that the cost to manufacturers and the impact on Second Amendment rights could be passed along during the legislative process [**28**].

PUBLIC PERCEPTION AND EDUCATION

Public perception and education are major hurdles, since microstamping is not a viable technology without the cooperation of and trust from the general public regarding its adoption. With no small amount of misleading (if not outright false) information being circulated, the potential for misinformation is high. Meeting those challenges will likely necessitate open dialogue on the potential public safety benefits of microscanning, as well as specific discussions about safeguards in place to ensure privacy protections and prevent abuse of the resulting data. This requires a major public education effort to demystify this technology: to professionalize its application as it serves the interests of forensic ballistics and maintains respect for basic constitutional rights [**29, 30**].

FUTURE DIRECTIONS AND INNOVATIONS

The continued development of microstamping technology is challenging limitations and increasingly being applied to more areas in forensic science, including complex considerations such as how criminals attempt to work around identification. For example, development in the field is aimed at increasing resistance against degradation and tampering of microstamped identifiers durable procedures with minimal substrates and to improve the accuracy of techniques that rely on micro-engraving for more elaborate and secure markings [**31**]. In addition, additional studies on nondestructive techniques for restoring erased serial number on different materials (e.g., polymers) could substantially increase the forensic applications of microstamping. Moreover, modern analytical techniques like rapid DNA analysis and μ-x-ray fluorescence are foreseen to simplify the forensic investigation procedure of microstamped evidence. These advances are in response to challenges associated with material composition and the specific traits needed for credible forensic comparison, similar to those seen in other areas within forensics such as dental material analysis [**32**].

Innovations in Microstamping Technology

Advanced efforts are currently developing new material and fabrication approaches like additive manufacturing for generating microstamps that are resistant to wear, heat, or deliberate tampering. For instance, the synthesis of biodegradable polymer based inks with sequence-controllable properties and ceramic inks with enhanced particle flow behaviors

provides great opportunities for more robust and tunable microstamping. The implementation of feedback control to the printing process itself would greatly improve the robustness and accuracy of these advanced microstamps, allowing consistent quality while reducing manufacturing error [**33, 34**].

New Cace of Gun Control

The regulatory landscape is increasingly responding to calls for tougher controls on untraceable weapons and an eyeing of digital marking technologies that could yield new methods for connecting ammunition to a specific weapon or production run. This includes regulations on 3D printed guns and gun parts that, as it stands, has made traditional firearms identification methods more difficult because such weapons are produced in a decentralized manner and sold without serial numbers [**35**]. Such tendency also includes a widely extension, application of the modern material science for firearms' body ensuring, there is lead more to wider range involved here not only metallic materials but polymers and composites too, which are demanding new forensic identification approaches. Moreover, it is possible that chemical analysis may be directly embedded in such materials to include characteristic markers (see e.g. the determination of explosives on fingerprints using trace particles as an additional level of forensic evidence [**36, 37**].

CONCLUSION

In essence, the purpose of these regulatory directions is to craft a broader and more flexible framework for firearms regulation that provides protection to the community but also takes into account individual rights through scientifically defensible and technologically feasible measures. This requires an inter- national view of regulatory harmonization that may be enforced in multiple jurisdictions against transgressions across sovereign borders and to avoid or movement of firearms through international trade. The future development and regulatory inclusion of microstamping technology will probably follow from addressing technological barriers, growing public awareness through educational campaigns and finding an equilibrium between security and individual rights within political environments. In addition, as the recognition of colored marking agents as well as the further development of advanced spectroscopic material identification techniques is to be considered essential for addressing new challenges in gun ID. Integrating advanced material characterization and digital forensics with microstamping analysis has the potential to increase the effectiveness of forensic analyses. Such interdisciplinary approaches are necessary to build an adaptable and future-proofed system of firearm traceability that can address new firearms building methods such as the emergent proliferation of 3D printed weaponry. This type of firearms, that can be ever more efficient and reliable to manufacture, represents a particular challenge for the standard forensic techniques requiring new identification methodologies.

Authors Contribution: data collection, M. I. Hatib and M. A. D. Junaid; resources, T. Hira and N. Bushra; supervision, M. Azeem and Abubakar; review and editing, F. Areej and M.S Sajid.

Funding: No funding involved.

Ethical Approval: Not applicable

Conflict of Interest: Nil.

Consent for Publication: All authors approved the manuscript for publication.

REFERENCES

1. P. K. Stefanopoulos, B. Janzon, D. E. Pinialidis, G. Mikros, N. E. Tsiatis, and I. N. Oikonomakis, “Wound ballistics of military rifle bullets: An update on controversial issues and associated misconceptions.,” J Trauma Acute Care Surg, vol. 87, no. 3, pp. 690–698, Apr. 2019, doi: 10.1097/ta.0000000000002290.
2. I. T. Weber, M. O. Rodrigues, M. A. D. M. Lucena, A. J. G. De Melo, and S. Alves Junior, “High Photoluminescent Metal–Organic Frameworks as Optical Markers for the Identification of Gunshot Residues,” Anal. Chem., vol. 83, no. 12, pp. 4720–4723, May 2011, doi: 10.1021/ac200680a.
3. E. Hare, H. Hofmann, and A. Carriquiry, “Automatic matching of bullet land impressions,” Ann. Appl. Stat., vol. 11, no. 4, Dec. 2017, doi: 10.1214/17-aoas1080.
4. J. Bueno, I. K. Lednev, and V. Sikirzhytski, “Raman Spectroscopic Analysis of Gunshot Residue Offering Great Potential for Caliber Differentiation,” Anal. Chem., vol. 84, no. 10, pp. 4334–4339, Mar. 2012, doi: 10.1021/ac203429x.
5. G. Jaiswal, M. A. R. Shaikh, V. Ramavath, S. D. Shelar, and S. Roy, “RDX Based Enhanced Energy Propellant for Tank Gun Ammunition,” Propellants Explo Pyrotec, vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 472–479, Nov. 2019, doi: 10.1002/prep.201900250.
6. N. K. Hipple, B. M. Huebner, L. A. Magee, and K. J. Thompson, “Understanding Victim Cooperation in Cases of Nonfatal Gun Assaults,” Criminal Justice and Behavior, vol. 46, no. 12, pp. 1793–1811, May 2019, doi: 10.1177/0093854819848806.
7. A. J. Fountain, D. Strozier, J. A. Malko, A. Corey, and J. W. Allen, “Imaging Appearance of Ballistic Wounds Predicts Bullet Composition:

Implications for MRI Safety.," American Journal of Roentgenology, vol. 216, no. 2, pp. 542–551, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.2214/ajr.20.23648.
8. C. R. Carneiro, M. F. Pimentel, M. A. De Carvalho, C. S. Silva, M. Talhavini, and I. T. Weber, "Identification of Luminescent Markers for Gunshot Residues: Fluorescence, Raman Spectroscopy, and Chemometrics," Anal. Chem., vol. 91, no. 19, pp. 12444–12452, Sept. 2019, doi: 10.1021/acs.analchem.9b03079.
9. B. Yüksel, N. Şen, G. I. Ögünç, and A. Erdoğan, "Elemental profiling of toxic and modern primers using ICP-MS, SEM-EDS, and XPS: an application in firearm discharge residue investigation," Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences, vol. 55, no. 4, pp. 529–546, Feb. 2022, doi: 10.1080/00450618.2022.2043436.
10. F. Riva and C. Champod, "Automatic Comparison and Evaluation of Impressions Left by a Firearm on Fired Cartridge Cases," Journal of Forensic Sciences, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 637–647, Feb. 2014, doi: 10.1111/1556-4029.12382.
11. E. F. Law and K. B. Morris, "Evaluating firearm examiner conclusion variability using cartridge case reproductions.," Journal of Forensic Sciences, vol. 66, no. 5, pp. 1704–1720, May 2021, doi: 10.1111/1556-4029.14758.
12. S. Hurka and C. Knill, "Does regulation matter? A cross-national analysis of the impact of gun policies on homicide and suicide rates," Regulation & Governance, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 787–803, Dec. 2018, doi: 10.1111/rego.12235.
13. D. R. Murray, "Handguns, Gun Control Laws and Firearm Violence," Social Problems, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 81–93, Oct. 1975, doi: 10.2307/799630.
14. A. Kposowa, D. Hamilton, and K. Wang, "Impact of Firearm Availability and Gun Regulation on State Suicide Rates.," Suicide & Life Threat Behav, vol. 46, no. 6, pp. 678–696, Mar. 2016, doi: 10.1111/sltb.12243.
15. D. Nagothu, S. Y. Nikouei, R. Xu, and Y. Chen, "A Microservice-enabled Architecture for Smart Surveillance using Blockchain Technology," Institute Of Electrical Electronics Engineers, Sept. 2018, pp. 1–4. doi: 10.1109/isc2.2018.8656968.
16. M. K. El Den Mohamed, H. H. Zayed, and A. Taha, "Automatic Gun Detection Approach for Video Surveillance," International Journal of Sociotechnology and Knowledge Development, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 49–66, Jan. 2020, doi: 10.4018/ijskd.2020010103.
17. E. Pina et al., "Data Privacy and Ethical Considerations in Database Management," JCP, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 494–517, July 2024, doi: 10.3390/jcp4030024.
18. A. A. S. Mohammad, M. T. Alshurideh, S. I. S. Mohammad, B. A. Oraini, and A. Vasudevan, "Data security in digital accounting: A logistic regression analysis of risk factors," ijirss, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 2699–2709, Feb. 2025, doi: 10.53894/ijirss.v8i1.5044.
19. X. Wang, Y. C. Wu, M. Zhou, and H. Fu, "Beyond surveillance: privacy, ethics, and regulations in face recognition technology.," Front. Big Data, vol. 7, July 2024, doi: 10.3389/fdata.2024.1337465.
20. B. Ehimuan, O. Ob, B. Oguejiofor, O. Akagha, and O. Reis, "Global data privacy laws: A critical review of technology's impact on user rights," World J. Adv. Res. Rev., vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 1058–1070, Feb. 2024, doi: 10.30574/wjarr.2024.21.2.0369.
21. D. Springs, "Smart city planning focused on the US cities in need of policing innovations and public health safety technologies and strategies," HEM, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 117–128, Mar. 2024, doi: 10.61093/hem.2024.1-09.
22. P. Vasilopoulos, H. Mcavay, S. Brouard, and M. Foucault, "Emotions, governmental trust and support for the restriction of civil liberties during the covid-19 pandemic," European J Political Res, vol. 62, no. 2, pp. 422–442, Feb. 2022, doi: 10.1111/1475-6765.12513.
23. C. R. Vachon and M. V. Martinez, "Understanding Gunshot Residue Evidence and Its Role in Forensic Science.," Am J Forensic Med Pathol, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 210–219, June 2019, doi: 10.1097/paf.0000000000000483.
24. N. Allahrakha, "Demystifying the Network and Cloud Forensics' Legal, Ethical, and Practical Considerations," PJC, no. 16.2, pp. 119–132, Apr. 2024, doi: 10.62271/pjc.16.2.119.132.
25. V. I. Dumit et al., "From principles to reality. FAIR implementation in the nanosafety community," Nano Today, vol. 51, p. 101923, June 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.nantod.2023.101923.
26. C. Karagiannis and K. Vergidis, "Digital Evidence and Cloud Forensics: Contemporary Legal Challenges and the Power of Disposal," Information, vol. 12, no. 5, p. 181, Apr. 2021, doi: 10.3390/info12050181.
27. S. E. Weinberger et al., "Firearm-related injury and death in the United States: a call to action from 8 health professional organizations and the American Bar Association.," Ann Intern Med, vol. 162, no. 7, pp. 513–516, Apr. 2015, doi: 10.7326/m15-0337.
28. A. A. Braga, E. Griffiths, S. Douglas, and K. Sheppard, "Firearm Instrumentality: Do Guns Make Violent Situations More Lethal?," Annu. Rev. Criminol., vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 147–164, Jan.

2021, doi: 10.1146/annurev-criminol-061020-021528.
29. E. Abolaji and O. Akinwande, “AI powered privacy protection: A survey of current state and future directions,” World J. Adv. Res. Rev., vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 2687–2696, Sept. 2024, doi: 10.30574/wjarr.2024.23.3.2869.
30. A. Singla, “The Evolving Landscape of Privacy Law: Balancing Digital Innovation and Individual Rights,” IJL, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 1–6, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.36676/ijl.v2.i1.01.
31. C.-M. Pun, X.-C. Yuan, and C. Yan, “Image Alignment-Based Multi-Region Matching for Object-Level Tampering Detection,” IEEE Trans.Inform.Forensic Secur., vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 377–391, Feb. 2017, doi: 10.1109/tifs.2016.2615272.
32. V. R. Duarte et al., “Benchmarking of Nondestructive Testing for Additive Manufacturing.,” 3D Printing and Additive Manufacturing, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 263–270, Aug. 2021, doi: 10.1089/3dp.2020.0204.
33. L. Li et al., “Direct-Ink-Write 3D Printing of Programmable Micro-Supercapacitors from MXene-Regulating Conducting Polymer Inks,” Advanced Energy Materials, vol. 13, no. 9, p. 2203683, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.1002/aenm.202203683.
34. S. Chen et al., “Engineering Natural Pollen Grains as Multifunctional 3D Printing Materials,” Adv Funct Materials, vol. 31, no. 49, p. 2106276, Aug. 2021, doi: 10.1002/adfm.202106276.
35. N. V. Muravyev, U. Schaller, A. N. Pivkina, K. A. Monogarov, and I. V. Fomenkov, “Progress in Additive Manufacturing of Energetic Materials: Creating the Reactive Microstructures with High Potential of Applications,” Propellants Explo Pyrotec, vol. 44, no. 8, pp. 941–969, May 2019, doi: 10.1002/prep.201900060.
36. D. F. Rendle, “Advances in chemistry applied to forensic science,” Chem. Soc. Rev., vol. 34, no. 12, p. 1021, Jan. 2005, doi: 10.1039/b415890n.
37. C. Salhi, D. Azrael, and M. Miller, “Patterns of gun owner beliefs about firearm risk in relation to firearm storage: a latent class analysis using the 2019 National Firearms Survey,” Inj Prev, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 271–276, May 2021, doi: 10.1136/injuryprev-2019-043624.