

UNDERWATER FORENSIC SCIENCE: ENVIRONMENTAL CHALLENGES, TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATIONS, AND MULTIDISCIPLINARY APPROACHES TO SUBMERGED CRIME SCENE INVESTIGATIONS

Muhammad Yasir¹ , Muhammad Adeel¹ , Fahad Shahzad^{2*}, Muhammad Arslan¹ ,
Syed Aftab Haider¹ , Muhammad Irfan¹ 

¹Department of Forensic Science, Faculty of Medicine and Allied Health Sciences, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Pakistan.

² Reem Medical Center, Doha, Qatar.

Submitted: May 21, 2025; Revised: January 4, 2026; Accepted: January 4, 2026; Published: April 30, 2026

ABSTRACT

Submarine crime investigations present their own distinctive array of forensic complexities, environmental considerations, and logistical constraints that usually rule in a quest for truth beneath the surface. The aquatic environment is an added consideration with regard to current, temperature, visibility, and biological activity that can shift, degrade, or alter evidence pertinent to a criminal investigation. This article discusses the rich reality of underwater forensic crime scene investigation, delving into what kind of equipment, method, and multi-disciplinary expertise are needed to manage such intricate affairs. From the lifting of water-logged bodies and guns to trace evidence storage and aquatic decomposition analysis, highly technical approaches tailored to hostile and unstable environments underwater must be utilized. New technologies—underwater remote-operated vehicles (ROVs), side-scan sonar, 3D photogrammetry, and the recovery of aquatic DNA—have greatly enhanced efficiency and accuracy in finding and recording evidence. There are problems to be overcome, however, most crucially chain of custody, reducing contamination, and interpretation of forensic information to suit marine environments. The work also refers to the role of forensic divers, underwater archaeologists, and marine biologists in establishing a coordinated and systematic approach to underwater investigations. Through observing true case studies and latest studies, this research uncovers dynamic technologies and strategies transforming today's underwater forensics. Through solving these underwater enigmas, this article seeks to create a stronger basis for submerged crime scenes and support advancements that guarantee justice is served, even in our world's most remote edges.

Keywords: Submarine crime, Underwater remote-operated vehicles, Aquatic DNA, 3D photogrammetry, Criminal investigation, Underwater crime investigation.

*Corresponding Author. E-mail: dr.fahad@reemmedicalcenter.com

INTRODUCTION

Underwater crime scene investigations rank among the most difficult areas in forensic science because of the intricacy of interactions among environmental, technical, and biological factors. Crime scenes underwater, i.e., lakes, rivers, oceans, or reservoirs, can hide major evidence, retard recovery, and significantly influence decomposition and traceability. In contrast to terrestrial crime scenes, sea crime scenes call for a customized response that marries forensic procedure with high levels of specialization in diving technology, hydrography, and marine ecology. Recovery and preservation of evidence—human remains,

weapons, vehicles, and electronic equipment—are compounded by flowing currents, low visibility, sediment disturbance, and thermal gradients that each influence the movement and deterioration of evidence underwater [1,2].

To deal with such complicities, forensic investigators use a multidisciplinary tool kit of sonar scanning, remote-operated underwater vehicles (ROVs), forensic dive teams, and aquatic DNA extraction procedures. The processes are also guided by rigorous chain-of-custody rules for maintaining evidentiary integrity. Forensic pathologists, police divers, underwater archaeologists, and marine

FIHSB is published by AMMANIF Publisher. The authors retain the copyright without restriction. This article is an open access article published under the terms and conditions of [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



biologists have to work together to reconstruct events and determine cause of death or criminal activity. As underwater taphonomy and aquatic trace evidence as a discipline are refined, so too are the precision and accuracy with which underwater crimes can be solved. Continued research in the field supports the need for repeated training, technological development, and inter-industry collaboration to challenge the demands of the contemporary underwater forensic analysis [3].

Importance of Underwater Forensics in Modern Crime Investigation

Forensic science for submerged objects is a means to reveal concealed facts in criminal offenses of specially tossed into water bodies, guns, or evidence to evade detection. In the last decades, the progressively growing numbers of crimes with submerged evidence—homicide and accidental death, and illegal business and insurance frauds—have forced authorities to create specialized methods of investigation. Conventional forensic methods are not typically adapted to aquatic environments, therefore underwater search and recovery technology like sonar imagery, magnetometers, and remotely operated vehicles (ROVs) have been incorporated into locating and retrieving forensic evidence. These technologies have greatly helped to advance the capability of law enforcement agencies to investigate previously contaminated or inaccessible crime scenes, thereby improving case clearance rates and justice delivery [2, 1].

In addition, forensic science must be utilized underwater in a bid to maintain integrity of evidence that is inclined to degrade rapidly biologically and environmentally. Water-logged materials, skeletal remains, and trace biological residues can deteriorate within hours, and hence rapid and accurate recovery becomes imperative. The discipline has also yielded important details pertaining to taphonomic processes of aquatic environments and thus allows forensic pathologists to make more accurate determinations regarding time and cause of death. Interdisciplinary cooperation—particularly with specialists in marine biology, forensic anthropology, and criminal justice—is increasingly important in making investigation results scientifically sound and legally admissible. As the crime scene landscape is changing, underwater forensics will be a component of totalistic investigation processes [3].

Historical Evolution of Aquatic Crime Scene Analysis

Examination and analysis of underwater crime scenes have come a long way from primitive recovery to scientifically sound techniques in compliance with forensic standards. Underwater evidence was historically recovered by traditional divers or salvage operations without forensic specialization in the earlier decades, resulting in common contamination and misinterpretation of

important evidence. Prior to the 1970s, underwater crime scenes had very little standardized protocol for handling them, and their investigation depended on eyewitness descriptions or post-mortem examination rather than bodies washing ashore or objects [4]. The absence of expertise in aquatic taphonomy and hydrodynamics rendered underwater scenes the most neglected branch of forensic science.

The entry of forensic dive units during the latter part of the 20th century, as well as breakthroughs in underwater photography and environmental science, marked a shift in the handling of submerged evidence. Law enforcement began formulating policies unique to underwater investigations during the 1990s, focusing on documentation, secure recovery, and preservation of chain of custody [5]. Advances like side-scan sonar, underwater photogrammetry, and genetic analysis from ocean samples have since made underwater crime scene investigation an exceedingly technical exercise. Forensic experts even more recently have embraced inter-disciplinary frameworks including constructs borrowed from ocean archaeology, limnology, and bio-forensics further enhancing the investigation process as well as evidentiary certainty in courtrooms [6].

UNDERWATER CRIME SCENES

Types of Crimes Commonly Discovered Underwater

Marine environments have a tendency to offer cover grounds for many criminal activities, particularly those in which the criminals try to conceal evidence. The most common are murders, where victim bodies are intentionally submerged to delay detection and hinder decomposition analysis. Doped bodies or cars that are driven into bodies of water to avoid forensic testing are common in many of these cases. Moreover, weapon disposal, most often with firearms, knives, and blunt objects, tends to take place in rivers, lakes, and harbors—sites selected for the apparent capacity to obliterate fingerprints and DNA imprints. These types of offense require prompt and systematic recovery methods to immobilize valuable forensic evidence prior to degradation or discharge by water authorities [2, 7]. Other underwater-related crimes include drug smuggling, where drugs are smuggled by smuggling contraband in submerged containers, hidden underground compartments in ships, or even scuba divers. Spontaneous deaths and faked drownings are also frequently encountered, often necessitating specialized forensic autopsy and crime scene reconstruction to determine whether they are natural, accidental, or lethal causes of death. Furthermore, insurance fraud, as intentionally sunk property or vehicles, is another important category of financial crime pursued underwater. Cybercrimes are increasingly also converging with aquatics forensics, where equipment like hard drives and cell

phones are submerged in water to destroy evidence—specialized recovery and digital preservation methods being needed.

Hazards and Risks at Submerged Sites

Underwater forensic scene investigation involves several physical and environmental hazards that largely distinguish them from ground-based forensic work. Divers operating in underwater settings are at risk of becoming entangled in wreckage, reduced visibility, steep depth changes, strong currents, and cold-water exposure, both of which can cause hypothermia or decompression sickness. These hazards are aggravated in polluted water bodies in which existence of industrial chemicals, sharp materials, or biological impurities offers dangerous health hazards. The intricacies of underwater travel and machinery operation—especially when dealing with delicate or perilous evidence such as weapons or bombs—need stringent training and prudent follow-through of security procedure [8, 9].

Beyond physical hazards, underwater environments offer operational and forensic risks. Water will rapidly compromise the integrity of trace and biological evidence, while scene displacement with sediment can result in contamination or destruction of spatial relationships. In addition, detailed documentation and staying in the proper chain of custody are also more difficult underwater, adding further to potential issues with the evidence during court proceedings. Underwater camera, mapping tool, and ROV operations must be carefully monitored so as not to contaminate the crime scene. Because of these additive risks, effective underwater forensic examination is an interdisciplinary venture that employs law enforcement, technical divers, forensic experts, and sometimes environmental engineers to manage risk and preserve the evidentiary value of underwater evidence [10].

DIVING TEAMS

Composition of an Underwater Forensics Unit

An underwater forensic team is a specialized group of interdisciplinary practitioners who are suitable for the performance of submerged crime scene examination. Foremost among them are forensic divers—mostly military or law enforcement experts—specialized in evidence recovery, navigating underwater, and forensic documentation in hostile aquatic environments. Traveling with them, especially, are forensic scientists, specifically aquatic taphonomy experts, to be taught on the body and object decomposition process in water. Underwater photographers, crime scene technicians, and evidence custodians are support personnel who utilize proper documentation and chain-of-custody protocols. Technologically advanced units use ROV operators and sonar imagery technicians to locate submerged evidence reliably. Coordination between these stations is essential to provide investigative integrity and admissibility of evidence gathered from aquatic environments [2, 11].

Roles of Commercial vs. Forensic Divers

Commercial and forensic divers both work in underwater conditions but have very different responsibilities in relation to their purpose. Commercial divers are most commonly used in construction, oil and gas, and infrastructure sectors. Their job includes underwater welding, pipe inspection, salvage, and maintenance of marine structure. These divers are very skilled in deep diving techniques and safety protocols, and tend to employ heavy equipment such as diving bells and saturation systems. Operational performance, structural soundness, and productivity interest them more than maintaining potential evidence [2].

Forensic divers, on the other hand, are trained specifically for underwater crime scene investigation and evidence recovery. Their job professionally involves minimum disruption to the scene, conservation of delicate evidence, and legal procedures such as preservation of chain-of-custody. Forensic divers diverge from commercial divers since they are required to record the underwater scene thoroughly, recover trace evidence such as biological materials, and frequently work alongside law enforcement and forensic experts. Underwater taphonomy and admissibility of evidence in court are also instructed to them. Though both forms of diver need to be physically tough and technically capable, the forensic diver's is an investigatory and legal one, rather than a commercial or industrial one [12].

SUBMERGED EVIDENCE

Commonly Retrieved Items and Their Forensic Value

In waterborne crime scenes, typically seized objects such as handguns, knives, vehicles, cell phones, and dead bodies are often precious sources of forensic evidence. They may retain trace evidence such as fingerprints, DNA, or ballistic marks despite prolonged water exposure. Weapons picked up from aquatic environments are still recoverable for serial number testing and post-mortem ballistic analysis, while cell phones can provide call logs or GPS data in the case of efficient drying and inspection. Human corpses also yield cause of death and post-mortem interval information, particularly when forensic taphonomy is employed in water bodies, offering precision to inquiries despite suboptimal submerged conditions [13, 14].

Techniques for Locating and Marking Evidence Underwater

Position and identification of submerged evidence are both dependent upon technological aid and diver expertise, well in keeping with the principles of forensic search and recovery. Sonar devices—the side-scan sonar and multi-beam sonar are most widely used—are frequently used in seafloor mapping to detect anomalies that may be suggestive of submerged evidence. Suspected items must then be inspected by remotely operated vehicles or by

skilled divers. It is precise in space when operating with these systems, i.e., GPS or underwater acoustic positioning. Underwater evidence is to be captured very well using underwater photograph or video documentation in order to maintain chain-of-custody, avoiding contamination or loss due to environmental factors, i.e., currents or silt movement [15].

Once underwater evidence has been discovered, marking is essential to its recovery for purposes eventually legal. Divers often mark positions with physical signs like labelled tags, weight-line buoys, or biodegradable tape. Consistent with this, underwater slates or water-proofed evidence bags can be used to store and identify minor items in the field. Practices must be done according to forensic standards so that they are admissible in court and do not easily break into fragile evidences. Apart from this, the use of 3D photogrammetry and laser scanning has enhanced documentation underwater by demonstrating high-resolution spatial reconstructions of underwater crime scenes [16].

MANNER OF ACCIDENT

Postmortem Changes in Submersion Cases

Postmortem alterations of bodies drowned differ owing to the medium of water, which promotes or modifies ordinary decomposition. One of the first and observable changes is maceration of the skin with wrinkling, whitening, and eventual slippage of the epidermis, likely to start on palms and soles. Over time, extended immersion results in the development of adipocere—a waxy, soap-like material resulting from hydrolysis and hydrogenation of fat tissue in wet, anaerobic conditions. Adipocere helps to conserve the body and can offer protection to internal injuries, which enables forensic examination even after a few weeks following death.

Environmental conditions like water depth, temperature, current, and aquatic life regulate the quantity and character of decomposition to a large degree. Cold water retards microbial activity, resulting in delayed putrefaction, while warm, stagnant water facilitates immediate putrefaction. Scavenging by aquatic animals can even cause postmortem trauma mimicking antemortem injury, making forensic interpretation difficult. Submersion death postmortem interval can be accurately estimated by careful assessment of the variables and multidisciplinary forensic evaluation [17, 18].

Toxicology and Decomposition Timelines Underwater

Toxicological analysis in death under water is very difficult because of chemical leaching and dilution as a result of prolonged immersion. In spite of these limitations, toxicology is still needed to identify the presence of alcohol, drug, or poison that leads to death. Postmortem redistribution and solubility of chemicals in water can influence their concentration within biological samples. Tissues like brain, liver,

and vitreous humor are normally used for examination owing to lower vulnerability to environmental contamination than blood or urine in sunken bodies [19]. Underwater decomposition happens at a largely different pace in relation to on dry land and is influenced by water temperature, oxygen level, and scavengers. Cold water retards decomposition through the suppression of bacterial action, and warm water speeds up decomposition. Adipocere formation, an organized postmortem change in water-borne corpses, can help retain tissue and expand the window of forensic analysis. However, determination of the postmortem interval in aquatic environments remains difficult and requires integrating environmental conditions with morphologic changes in the body [20].

GEOGRAPHICAL RETRAIVALS

Use of GIS and Mapping Tools in Evidence Location

Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and mapping technology are at the center of today's forensic analysis, especially identifying evidence across vast or inaccessible terrain. GIS enables examiners to compile and analyze spatial data such as topographic maps, aerial photos, crime scene addresses, and environmental information. By overlapping data layers, forensic units can determine search zones of potential evidence location, particularly for outdoor crime scene investigations or mass disaster cases. GIS is also capable of reconstructing crime scenes and determining space relationships of evidence, suspects, and victims [21]. GPS-supported mapping and drone surveys are other mapping technologies improving evidence location accuracy and efficiency. Real-time mapping allows the team to change search areas dynamically, mark the precise location of objects, and prevent re-survey of surveyed areas. Predictive modeling can be offered with the use of historical crime data, terrain analysis, and a person's habits of behavior to anticipate where objects of evidence will be located. These systems prove to be most effective in interdisciplinary settings, where police officers, forensic experts, and emergency responders can share a common spatial representation of an investigation [22].

Circular, Grid, and Sector Methods

Pattern searches like circular, grid, and sector methods are basic forensic and underwater evidence recovery methods, chosen on the basis of terrain, visibility, and area size. Circular or spiral search pattern starts from a central point and radiates outward in a continuously increasing path. This technique is best suited for confinements where evidence can be expected to be close to a known location but hard to keep precise in open or low-visibility areas. Grid pattern, however, is done by searching straight lines in one direction and then at right angles to establish an orderly crisscross. It is

highly suitable for open or wide areas that need intensive searching [23].

The pie search method divides the area into wedge-shaped regions, usually radiating out from a center point, in a similar way to a pie being sliced. Each region is searched separately and therefore it is highly beneficial if the search needs to be worked outwards from a source point, as with bomb scene work or underwater recovery of evidence. This technique provides focused, manageable units of search and is frequently used in conjunction with sonar or divers for subaqueous search. Pattern selection has direct bearing on evidence recovery completeness and effectiveness, and multiples of methods are frequently used to maximize search success in poor environments [24].

CONCLUSION

Offshore crime scene examination is arguably the most technological and mobile division of forensic science. The synergy of environmental risk, immediate decay of evidence, and operational risk mandates highly skilled, multi-disciplinary skills. Merging leading-edge technologies like side-scan sonar, ROVs, GIS mapping, and 3D photogrammetry, forensic squads increasingly find themselves equipped to locate, record, and recover submerged evidence with better precision and reliability. Further, forensic divers, marine

biologists, underwater archaeologists, and forensic pathologists collaborate now to reconstruct wetland crime scenes with evidentiary integrity in hostile environments as well.

Ongoing innovation and training are imperative to deal with the specific challenge of underwater investigations. Through drawing on expertise in aquatic decomposition, streamlining evidence recovery processes, and utilizing systematic search patterns like grid, circular, and sector methods, investigators can achieve optimal results in submerged crime cases. In addition, GIS-planning and spatial modeling aid investigative precision and fortify fieldwork and the justice process. As technology continues to advance, underwater forensics' function can only expand in usage to provide justice within even the most distant and isolated aquatic frontiers.

Authors Contribution: data collection, M Yasir and M Adeel; supervision and resources, M Arsalan and SH Aftab; review and editing, M. Irfan and S Fahad.

Funding: No funding involved.

Ethical Approval: Not applicable

Conflict of Interest: Nil.

Consent for Publication: All authors approved the manuscript for publication.

REFERENCES

- 1 Morgan RM. Forensic science. In: *Routledge Handbook of Crime Science* 2018 Nov 13 (pp. 190-204). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203431405>
- 2 Rolly RM, Malarvezhi P, Lagkas TD. Unmanned aerial vehicles: Applications, techniques, and challenges as aerial base stations. *International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks*. 2022 Sep;18(9):15501329221123933. <https://doi.org/10.1177/15501329221123933>
- 3 Liu, J., Chen, Q., & Zhao, Y. (2021). Advances in Aquatic Forensics: Challenges in Evidence Recovery and Interpretation. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 66(4), 1112–1124. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1556-4029.14678>
- 4 Armstrong EJ, Erskine KL. Investigation of drowning deaths: a practical review. *Academic forensic pathology*. 2018 Mar;8(1):8-43. <https://doi.org/10.23907/2018.002>
- 5 Watherston J, McNevin D. Skull and long bones—Forensic DNA techniques for historic shipwreck human remains. *Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences*. 2024 Jul 3;56(4):367-91. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00450618.2023.2181395>
- 6 Jayaprakash PT. Crime scene investigation and reconstruction: an illustrated manual and field guide. CRC Press; 2022 Oct 11. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003267096>
- 7 Cartwright GE, Slaytor NJ. Forensic Recovery of Weapons in Aquatic Environments. *J Forensic Sci*. 2018;63(5):1402–1408. [doi:10.1111/1556-4029.13712](https://doi.org/10.1111/1556-4029.13712)
- 8 Gómez MG, Rodríguez ÁM. Aquatic Environments and Organized Crime: An Emerging Nexus. *Crime Sci*. 2021;10(1):1–10. [doi:10.1186/s40163-021-00150-7](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40163-021-00150-7)
- 9 Little LA. Fish-finding Fido; Can domestic dogs (*Canis familiaris*) offer a solution for detecting an invasive freshwater catfish (*Ameiurus nebulosus*) (Doctoral dissertation, The University of Waikato). <https://hdl.handle.net/10289/14190>
- 10 Kohshi K, Denoble PJ, Tamaki H, Morimatsu Y, Ishitake T, Lemaître F. Decompression illness in repetitive breath-hold diving: why ischemic lesions involve the brain?. *Frontiers in Physiology*. 2021 Sep 3;12:711850. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2021.711850>
- 11 Sorg MH, Haglund WD, Wren JA. Current research in forensic taphonomy. A companion to forensic anthropology. 2012 Apr 20:477-98. [DOI:10.1002/9781118255377](https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118255377)
- 12 Latif A, Fahad Shahzad, Muhammad Arjamand, Abdul Rehman Rashid, Aniza Batool, Hafiz Abdullah Akbar. Forensic approach: Analysis of gender based variation in palm prints within Pakistani population.

- Forensic Insights and Health Sciences Bulletin 2025, 3(2):34-9.
<https://doi.org/10.56770/fi2025321>
- 13 Flemming NC, Max MD. Scientific diving: a general code of practice. https://repository.library.noaa.gov/view/noaa/39300/noaa_39300_DS1.pdf
 - 14 AlShamsi MA. Firearms evidence collection. In *Manual of Crime Scene Investigation* 2022 Oct 28 (pp. 193-204). CRC Press. DOI: [10.4324/9781003129554-13](https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003129554-13)
 - 15 Morgan RM, Bull PA. The philosophy, nature and practice of forensic sediment analysis. *Prog Phys Geogr.* 2007;31(1):43–58
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0309133307073881>
 - 16 Wilson-Taylor RJ, Dautartas AM. Time since death estimation and bone weathering: the postmortem interval. In *Forensic Anthropology* 2017 Feb 24 (pp. 291-330). CRC Press.
<https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315300030>
 - 17 Spitz WU, Fisher RS, editors. *Medicolegal investigation of death: guidelines for the application of pathology to crime investigation*. Springfield (Ill.): Thomas; 1973.
 - 18 Smith C, Lees T. Forensic recovery of human remains in water. *Forensic Sci Int.* 2017;279:93–101.
[doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2017.07.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2017.07.005)
 - 19 McCarthy J, Benjamin J. Multi-image photogrammetry for underwater archaeological site recording: an accessible, diver-based approach. *J Marit Archaeol.* 2014;9(1):95–114.
[doi:10.1007/s11457-014-9127-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11457-014-9127-7)
 - 20 Muqadas JN, Noor Ul Aien, Tasmia Fatima, Ansari MA. Crime scene to judgment: A review on identifying gaps in crime scene investigation and implementing solutions. *Forensic Insights and Health Sciences Bulletin*, 2025;3(1):1-6.
<https://doi.org/10.56770/fi2025311>
 - 21 Simonit F, Colatutto A, Giudici F, Broi UD, Sciarappa O, Desinan L. Emerging issues in the approach to submerged bodies: Water-related deaths in Friuli, northeastern Italy (1993–2020). Analysis of post-mortem reports and some unusual cases. *Medicine, Science and the Law.* 2023 Jul;63(3):187-94.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/00258024221127574>
 - 22 Schultz JJ, Healy C, Parker K. Applications of Geographic Information Systems (GIS) in forensic anthropology. *Forensic Sci Res.* 2020;5(2):109–18.
[doi:10.1080/20961790.2019.1640051](https://doi.org/10.1080/20961790.2019.1640051)
 - 23 Sommers BD, Collings AJ. A GIS-based decision support system for forensic search planning. *J Forensic Sci.* 2018;63(6):1691–8.
[doi:10.1111/1556-4029.13814](https://doi.org/10.1111/1556-4029.13814)
 - 24 Comer R. Forensic Search Methods: Best Practices and Lessons Learned. *J Assoc Crime Scene Reconstr.* 2017;23(1):19–27.
<https://www.acsr.org>